

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

PATRICK CODY _____
Plaintiff

V.

SPRINGFIELD TERMINAL RAILWAY, _____
Defendant

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 05-10290-PBS

**REPORT RE: REFERENCE FOR
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

TO JUDGE SARIS

[] The above entitled case was reported settled after referral to the ADR Program, but prior to ADR.

[] On _____ I held the following ADR proceeding:

___ SCREENING CONFERENCE

___ EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION

___ MEDIATION

___ SUMMARY BENCH / JURY TRIAL

___ MINI-TRIAL

___ SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

[] All parties were represented by counsel [except _____]

[] The parties were present in person or by authorized corporate officer

The case was:

[] Settled. A _____ day order of dismissal has been entered.

[] There was progress.

[] Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This case should be restored to your trial list.

[] Suggested strategy to facilitate settlement:

[X] Other: Despite efforts, the court has not been able to schedule mediation. Counsel for Defendant indicated that he thought the case had settled, but that has not been confirmed. The case should be returned to your trial list.

12/13/05

DATE

/s/ Kenneth P. Neiman

ADR Provider

KENNETH P. NEIMAN, U.S. Magistrate Judge